Review

Bridging the Gap: Expanding Telehealth Services to Address Rural Health Disparities

Jalal Uddin * and Tazveen Fariha

Posted Date: 28 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

Keywords: Telehealth, Rural Health, Healthcare Disparities, Remote Care, Health Policy, Access to Care

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

Review

Bridging the Gap: Expanding Telehealth Services to Address Rural Health Disparities

Jalal Uddin * and Tazveen Fariha

Social and Behavioral Health Department, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Las Vegas, USA; fariha@unlv.nevada.edu

* Correspondence: jalal.uddin@unlv.edu

Abstract: Introduction: Rural Americans face significant health disparities due to limited access to healthcare services. Telehealth has emerged as a promising solution to mitigate these disparities by facilitating remote access to medical care. This paper comprehensively reviews the advantages, challenges, and implementation strategies of telehealth in rural areas. **Method:** A narrative review methodology was employed to synthesize existing literature and case studies on telehealth in rural settings. Databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and JSTOR were searched for relevant studies published in the last three decades. Keywords such as "telehealth," "rural health," and "healthcare disparities" guided the search process. The review focused on studies assessing the impact of telehealth on healthcare access, cost-effectiveness, and quality of care in rural areas. **Results:** The review findings indicate that telehealth significantly enhances healthcare access by reducing travel distances, increasing availability of specialist consultations, and promoting patient engagement. It improves chronic disease management and patient satisfaction by enabling convenient access to healthcare services at home. Despite initial setup costs and challenges such as broadband access and regulatory issues, telehealth demonstrates long-term cost savings through reduced travel expenses and improved healthcare efficiency. Quality of care is enhanced through improved communication between healthcare providers and patients, leading to better treatment adherence and health outcomes. **Conclusion:** Telehealth represents a transformative approach to address healthcare disparities in rural areas. It offers a cost-effective solution to improve access to healthcare services, enhance patient outcomes, and reduce healthcare costs in the long run. However, successful implementation requires addressing infrastructure challenges, refining reimbursement policies, and ensuring regulatory support. Future efforts should focus on expanding broadband infrastructure, refining policy frameworks, and conducting further research to optimize telehealth's impact on rural healthcare delivery.

Keywords: telehealth; rural health; healthcare disparities; remote care; health policy; access to care

1. Introduction

Rural Americans face significant health disparities compared to urban populations, with more than 46 million living in rural areas (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2023). They are more likely to die from heart disease, cancer, unintentional injury, chronic lower respiratory disease, and stroke than urban residents. Factors contributing to this disparity include long travel distances to specialty and emergency care, environmental hazards, higher rates of smoking, high blood pressure, and obesity, as well as lower rates of physical activity and seatbelt use (CDC, 2023).

Health care is increasingly technology-driven, with telehealth offering remote service delivery and real-time patient-provider communication (Butzner & Cuffee, 2021). It serves as an alternative model, expanding treatment access and reducing barriers, particularly in underserved and rural areas. Telehealth promotes healthy behaviors and manages conditions effectively, leading to increased patient participation, satisfaction, and reduced chronic illness rates (ter Huurne et al., 2013).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

Telehealth allows patients to access care conveniently at home, decreasing travel time and improving communication, access, self-awareness, and chronic condition management (Kruse et al., 2017). It benefits the health care system and providers by reducing missed appointments, wait times, readmissions, and improving medication adherence, quality, timeliness of care, and education (Kruse et al., 2017).

In rural areas, telehealth addresses physician shortages and burnout, potentially improving recruitment and retention rates (Ward et al., 2018). Rural communities, including vulnerable populations like those with low socioeconomic status, Indigenous communities, children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities, face limited health care access and high travel costs, leading to poor health outcomes and economic burdens (Orlando et al., 2019; Uddin et al., 2023). Telehealth extends health services, reducing barriers to care in rural communities.

2. Related Work

The literature highlights various benefits of telehealth, including expanding telehealth services in rural areas which offers advantages like cost savings, convenience, improved access to care, and enhanced patient engagement, including during pandemics like COVID-19 (Watson, 2020; Zachrison et al., 2021; Kamal et al., 2021). It reduces transportation and overhead costs, connects patients with specialists and primary care providers, and promotes better health outcomes (Watson, 2020; Gurupur & Miao, 2022). However, challenges include upfront costs for equipment and internet connectivity, reimbursement model complexities, limitations for certain medical visits, and privacy and security concerns (Zachrison et al., 2021; Gurupur & Miao, 2022). Ensuring telehealth services meet quality standards and addressing technological barriers are also key considerations (Watson, 2020; Gurupur & Miao, 2022).

The Institute of Medicine's guide on telemedicine emphasizes evaluating its effects on quality, access, and cost (Institute of Medicine, 1996). Quality of care is assessed based on its ability to improve health outcomes and align with professional knowledge, considering individuals and populations (Institute of Medicine, 1996). Cost analysis involves assessing the value of resources used, comparing costs and health effects with alternatives, and considering perspectives like societal, insurer, provider, and patient (Institute of Medicine, 1996). Challenges include varying uses of telemedicine, expanded indications, and dynamic technological changes, necessitating ongoing evaluation (Institute of Medicine, 1996).

Expanding telehealth services in rural areas can have profound effects on access, cost, and quality of healthcare. In rural communities, access to healthcare is often limited due to factors such as geographic isolation, lack of transportation, and shortages of healthcare providers (Butzner & Cuffee, 2021). Telehealth can help overcome these barriers by allowing patients to consult with healthcare providers remotely, eliminating the need for long and often difficult journeys to healthcare facilities (Institute of Medicine, 1996).

Improved access to healthcare through telehealth can lead to earlier detection and management of health conditions (Institute of Medicine, 1996). For example, patients with chronic diseases such as diabetes or hypertension can receive regular monitoring and care without the need for frequent in-person visits (Butzner & Cuffee, 2021; Bayzid et al., 2020). This can result in better health outcomes and a reduced risk of complications.

While there are upfront costs associated with setting up telehealth services, such as purchasing equipment and training staff, studies have shown that telehealth can lead to cost savings in the long run. One study found that telehealth consultations were associated with lower costs compared to in-person consultations, primarily due to reduced travel expenses and time off work for patients (Orlando et al., 2019).

Telehealth can also improve the quality of care in rural areas. By enabling healthcare providers to consult with specialists remotely, telehealth can ensure that patients receive the most appropriate care for their condition. Telehealth consultations can also improve communication between healthcare providers and patients, leading to better treatment adherence and health outcomes (Gurupur & Miao, 2022).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

3. Research Design

This study employs a narrative review methodology to explore the impact of expanding telehealth services on healthcare access, cost, and quality in rural areas. The research design integrates both qualitative and quantitative data from a wide array of sources, including peer-reviewed journals, government reports, and authoritative online databases. The objective is to synthesize existing knowledge and identify key benefits, challenges, and policy implications related to telehealth in rural settings.

The methodology is structured around several key components. First, a comprehensive literature review is conducted to identify and synthesize relevant studies, reports, and articles published in the last thirty years. Databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, JSTOR, and institutional repositories are used to gather data. Specific keywords, including "telehealth," "rural health," "healthcare disparities," "remote care," "telemedicine policy," and "health outcomes," guide the search process. The selection criteria focus on studies published within the last three decade, written in English, and directly addressing telehealth in rural settings, while excluding non-empirical studies, non-English publications, and those outside the timeframe. High-quality sources such as peer-reviewed journals, reputable healthcare organizations (e.g., CDC, Institute of Medicine), and government reports are prioritized.

Data analysis involves thematic and comparative analyses to identify patterns, themes, and insights from the collected data. Thematic analysis helps in recognizing recurring themes and issues, while comparative analysis contrasts telehealth services with traditional in-person care in terms of access, cost, and quality. Specific case studies of successful telehealth implementations in rural areas are examined to identify best practices and lessons learned.

Ethical considerations include ensuring data integrity, proper citation of all sources, and addressing confidentiality concerns. Since the study uses publicly available data, issues of patient confidentiality and privacy are minimal. Efforts are made to minimize bias by including a diverse range of studies to ensure a balanced perspective.

4. Results and Discussion

Results

This review on telehealth in rural areas highlights several key findings regarding healthcare access, cost-effectiveness, and quality of care. The results are synthesized from various studies and reports, presenting a comprehensive overview of the impact of telehealth services.

Improved Healthcare Access

Telehealth significantly improves healthcare access for rural populations by reducing travel distances, increasing the availability of specialist consultations, and enhancing patient engagement.

Table 1. Impact of Telehealth on Healthcare Access in Rural Areas.

Study	Access Improvement	Key Findings
Kruse et al. (2017)	Patient participation and satisfaction	Increased patient participation and satisfaction in telehealth programs
Ward et al. (2018)	ED physician coverage	Telehealth increased emergency department physician coverage in rural hospitals

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

Orlando et al. (2019)	Patient and caregiver satisfaction	High satisfaction rates among patients and caregivers using telehealth services

Cost-Effectiveness

While the initial investment in telehealth infrastructure can be high, long-term cost savings are evident through reduced travel expenses, fewer missed appointments, and lower readmission rates.

Table 2. Cost Savings and Financial Impact of Telehealth Implementation.

Study	Cost Savings	Key Findings
Butzner & Cuffee (2021)	Reduced travel and overhead costs	Significant reduction in travel and overhead costs for healthcare providers
Watson (2020)	Overall cost-effectiveness	Telehealth is cost-effective in the long run despite initial setup costs
Gurupur & Miao (2022)	Financial viability and reduced healthcare costs	Telehealth leads to overall reduced healthcare costs and improved financial viability for providers

Quality of Care

Telehealth has been shown to maintain or improve the quality of care, particularly in managing chronic conditions and enhancing patient-provider communication.

Table 3. Quality of Care Enhancements through Telehealth.

Study	Quality Improvement	Key Findings
Kruse et al. (2017)	Improved chronic condition management	Enhanced management of chronic diseases through regular telehealth consultations
Gurupur & Miao (2022)	Treatment adherence and health outcomes	Improved treatment adherence and health outcomes through telehealth
Raffenaud et al. (2019)	Patient favorability and perceptions	High favorability rates and positive perceptions of telehealth in oncology settings

Discussion

Enhancing Access to Healthcare

The findings consistently demonstrate that telehealth can bridge the gap in healthcare access for rural populations. Patients benefit from reduced travel times and costs, leading to increased access to specialist care and timely medical interventions. The ability to consult with healthcare providers remotely is particularly beneficial for individuals with chronic conditions requiring frequent monitoring and follow-up (Kruse et al., 2017; Ward et al., 2018).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

Cost-Effectiveness of Telehealth

While there are significant initial costs associated with telehealth implementation, such as purchasing equipment and training staff, the long-term benefits outweigh these expenditures. Reduced travel expenses, fewer missed appointments, and decreased readmission rates contribute to overall cost savings for both patients and healthcare providers (Butzner & Cuffee, 2021; Watson, 2020). These findings align with previous studies indicating that telehealth can be a cost-effective solution for rural healthcare delivery (Gurupur & Miao, 2022).

Quality of Care through Telehealth

Telehealth maintains, and in some cases, improves the quality of care provided to patients. Enhanced communication between patients and healthcare providers leads to better treatment adherence and improved health outcomes. This is particularly important for managing chronic conditions, where regular monitoring and timely interventions can prevent complications and reduce the overall burden on the healthcare system (Kruse et al., 2017; Gurupur & Miao, 2022; Raffenaud et al., 2019).

Addressing Implementation Challenges

Despite the evident benefits, several challenges need to be addressed to ensure successful telehealth implementation in rural areas. Reliable broadband access is a critical factor, as many rural regions still lack the necessary infrastructure. Additionally, legal and regulatory barriers, such as licensing and reimbursement issues, must be overcome to facilitate widespread adoption of telehealth services (Kruse et al., 2017).

6. Conclusion and Future Scope

The implementation of telehealth services in rural areas presents a transformative solution to longstanding healthcare disparities. By providing remote access to healthcare professionals, telehealth effectively reduces the barriers of distance and accessibility faced by rural populations. This study underscores the substantial benefits of telehealth, including improved healthcare access, cost-efficiency, and enhanced quality of care. Patients in rural areas benefit from reduced travel burdens and increased convenience, leading to higher satisfaction and better management of chronic conditions.

Despite its successes, several challenges must be addressed to optimize telehealth's impact. These include ensuring robust broadband infrastructure to support telecommunication needs, establishing clear and adaptable reimbursement models, and navigating legal and ethical considerations related to patient privacy and provider licensure. Investments in telehealth equipment and ongoing training for healthcare providers are crucial to maintaining service quality and expanding telehealth capabilities.

Looking ahead, future efforts should prioritize infrastructure development to enhance broadband access and affordability in rural communities. Policy frameworks need refinement to support sustainable telehealth adoption and equitable reimbursement practices. Continued research is essential to evaluate telehealth's long-term impact on health outcomes and healthcare delivery efficiency. Moreover, initiatives aimed at educating both providers and patients about telehealth benefits will foster greater acceptance and utilization.

By addressing these areas comprehensively and fostering collaboration among stakeholders, telehealth can achieve its full potential in narrowing healthcare disparities and improving health outcomes in rural areas. The evolving landscape of telehealth presents opportunities for innovation and scalability, promising a more inclusive and accessible healthcare system for all populations, irrespective of geographic location.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

Data Availability

All data analyzed in this study are sourced from publicly available literature, case studies, and reports cited in the manuscript's references. Researchers seeking specific datasets or further information are advised to consult these original sources for comprehensive access and retrieval details.

Study Limitations

Study limitations include potential biases from relying on publicly available literature and reports, which may vary in quality and comprehensiveness. The focus on recent publications within the last three decades limits insights from older, potentially relevant literature. Variations in rural broadband infrastructure and evolving telehealth policies may affect the generalizability and applicability of findings. Methodological constraints include subjective interpretation biases inherent in narrative reviews and potential publication bias from prioritizing peer-reviewed studies.

Authors' Contributions: Jalal Uddin: He conceptualized and designed the study, conducted data collection and analysis, drafted the manuscript, and revised it critically for important intellectual content. **Tazveen Fariha:** She provided guidance and supervision throughout the study, offering critical feedback on the manuscript's content and structure, and contributed to the final approval of the version to be submitted.

Funding Source: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully acknowledge the School of Public Health, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, for their invaluable support and resources that facilitated the completion of this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this manuscript.

References

1. Bayzid, B., Kabir, S. M. M., Rahman, M. H., Uddin, J., Hosen, M. S., Shumi, S. S., et al. (2020). A cross-sectional survey on socio-demographic profile and work-related health risks of Bangladeshi female sex workers. International Journal of Women's Health Care, 5(2), 38-41.
2. Butzner, M., & Cuffee, Y. (2021). Telehealth Interventions and Outcomes Across Rural Communities in the United States: Narrative Review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23(8), e29575. https://doi.org/10.2196/29575
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2023). Rural health. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/ruralhealth/about.html
4. Dart, E. H., Whipple, H. M., Pasqua, J. L., et al. (2016). Legal, Regulatory, and Ethical Issues in Telehealth Technology. Computer-Assisted and Web-Based Innovations in Psychology, Special Education, and Health, Elsevier Academic Press, 339-363.
5. Gurupur, V. P., & Miao, Z. (2022). A brief analysis of challenges in implementing telehealth in a rural setting. mHealth, 8, 17. https://doi.org/10.21037/mhealth-21-38
6. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Evaluating Clinical Applications of Telemedicine, & Field, M. J. (Eds.). (1996). Telemedicine: A Guide to Assessing Telecommunications in Health Care. National Academies Press (US).
7. Kamal, S. M. M., Kabir, M. F., Rahman, M. H., Uddin, J., Hosen, M. S., & Shumi, S. S. (2021). Impact of home quarantine due to COVID-19 among Bangladeshi population. International Journal of Public Health Science, 10(1), 1-7.
8. Kruse, C. S., Krowski, N., Rodriguez, B., Tran, L., Vela, J., & Brooks, M. (2017). Telehealth and patient satisfaction: a systematic review and narrative analysis. BMJ Open, 7(8), e016242. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016242
9. Nelson, R. (2017). Telemedicine and Telehealth: The Potential to Improve Rural Access to Care. American Journal of Nursing, 117, 17-8. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NAJ.0000520244.60138.1c
10. Orlando, J. F., Beard, M., & Kumar, S. (2019). Systematic review of patient and caregivers' satisfaction with telehealth videoconferencing as a mode of service delivery in managing patients' health. PLoS One, 14(8), e0221848. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221848

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.2012.v1

11. Raffenaud, A., Gurupur, V., Fernandes, S. L., et al. (2019). Utilizing telemedicine in oncology settings: Patient favourability rates and perceptions of use analysis using Chi-Square and neural networks. Technology and Health Care, 27, 115-127.
12. ter Huurne, E. D., Postel, M. G., de Haan, H. A., Drossaert, C. H. C., & DeJong, C. A. J. (2013). Web-based treatment program using intensive therapeutic contact for patients with eating disorders: before-after study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 15(2), e12. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2211
13. Uddin, J., Greene, M., Dubbin, L., Deutsch, M., & Flatt, J. (2023). Examining the role between social antecedents and depression among LGBTQ+ older adults eligible for low-income housing. OBM Geriatrics, 7(4), 1-19.
14. Ward, M. M., Merchant, K. A. S., Carter, K. D., Zhu, X., Ullrich, F., Wittrock, A., & Bell, A. (2018). Use Of Telemedicine For ED Physician Coverage In Critical Access Hospitals Increased After CMS Policy Clarification. Health Affairs, 37(12), 2037–2044. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2018.05103
15. Watson, S. (2020, October 12). Telehealth: The advantages and disadvantages. Harvard Health Publishing. Retrieved from https://www.health.harvard.edu/staying-healthy/telehealth-the-advantages-and-disadvantages
16. Zachrison, K. S., Richard, J. V., & Mehrotra, A. (2021). Paying for Telemedicine in Smaller Rural Hospitals: Extending the Technology to Those Who Benefit Most. JAMA Health Forum, 2(8), e211570. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamahealthforum.2021.1570

Authors Profile

Jalal Uddin is a Master of Public Health student in the Social and Behavioral Health Department at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV). With a Bachelor of Science in Physiotherapy from Bangladesh, Jalal's research interests span Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and other neurological conditions in older adults, alongside issues affecting gender and racial minorities. Motivated by a passion for reducing health disparities, Jalal actively contributes as a Graduate Research Assistant, adept in data analysis using SPSS and SAS. His work includes designing survey methodologies, collaborating with organizations like the Alzheimer's Association, and American Public Health Association.

Tazveen Fariha is an emerging researcher with a Master of Public Health from the University of Creative Technology in Bangladesh, and upcoming pursuit of a PhD in Public Health at the University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV) starting Fall 2024. Currently serving as an Academic Coordinator at Chattogram International Nursing College, she oversees course planning and faculty supervision while contributing to nursing education advancements. Tazveen's research focuses on mental health among nursing students and empathy in nursing practice, with recent conference presentations and publications in nursing journals highlighting her commitment to advancing healthcare education and research.