



January 28, 2026

Rep. Curtis VanderWall
Chair, Health Policy Committee
Michigan House of Representatives

Rep. Jamie Thompson (Republican) District-28
Majority Vice Chair, Health Policy Committee
Michigan House of Representatives

Rep. Karen Whitsett (Democrat) District-4
Minority Vice Chair, Health Policy Committee
Michigan House of Representatives

Dear Health Committee Leaders,

On behalf of the Alliance for Connected Care, I am writing to encourage Michigan to rapidly advance [S.B. 60](#), which would renew participation in the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact (IMLC). This legislation is crucial to Michigan's continued leadership on health care.

The Alliance is a national advocacy organization dedicated to improving access to care through the reduction of policy, legal, and regulatory barriers to the adoption of telemedicine and remote patient monitoring. Our members are leading health care and technology organizations from across the spectrum, representing health systems, health payers, technology innovators, and patient and provider groups, including many types of clinician specialty and patient advocacy groups who wish to better utilize opportunities enabled by virtual care.

Facilitating access across state lines expands healthcare options for Michigan residents and allows Michigan's health leaders to practice nationally. Michigan's current participation in the IMLC will sunset on March 28, 2025. S.B. 60 would [remove](#) the sunset provision on Michigan's participation in the IMLC. We applaud the House Rules Committee's recent support of [H.B. 5455](#), which would restore Michigan to the IMLC; when paired with the final passage of S.B. 60, this bill would continue to harness the power of virtual care for all Michiganders.

Licensure laws limit the ability of health care providers to see patients across state lines -- creating artificial barriers to the delivery of care, thus complicating access for patients and creating additional burden on clinicians. Uniform national standards across clinical practice areas are in place, but there is wide variation in state licensing processes. These barriers are partially mitigated by Michigan's participation in IMLC. Michigan must continue its participation in IMLC to expand health care access for patients and empower Michigan practitioners as national experts and leaders.

The Alliance believes participation in the IMLC should be the minimum for a state promoting greater access through telehealth, as more can be done. After Michigan passes S.B. 60 and renews their involvement in



IMLC, the State should consider adopting the [Uniform Telehealth Act](#) (UTA) model legislation (as we [wrote to the Governor](#) last year). This widely supported approach should be viewed as the successor to the IMLC – it will establish a more seamless path for Michigan to facilitate the delivery of services via telehealth. The UTA clarifies that health care services may be provided through telehealth, if doing so is consistent with applicable professional practice standards and the practitioner’s scope of practice, as defined by the state in which the patient is located. It also establishes a registration system for practitioners who hold licenses in other states that improves upon the IMLC process. The UTA would unleash additional access and innovation within and outside of Michigan.

We hope you will consider these recommendations as you work to serve Michiganders in need of health services. We thank you for your leadership and look forward to working with you on this important effort. Please contact Rikki Cheung at rikki.cheung@connectwithcare.org with any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Christopher Adamec".

Chris Adamec
Executive Director
Alliance for Connected Care